



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,364	03/31/2004	Edward K. Y. Jung	SEI-0015-US	1688

80118

7590

02/25/2010

Constellation Law Group, PLLC

P.O. Box 220

Tracyton, WA 98393

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2010

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)	Application No. 10/816,364	Applicant(s) JUNG ET AL.	
	Examiner OLEG SURVILLO	Art Unit 2442	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 05 January 2010 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.
EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. ☒ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☒ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☒ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet.

/Asad M Nawaz/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2455

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

As to point 1. heading of section VIII at page 86 of the Brief is not among of the headings outlined in 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(i)-(x).

Section headings: APPENDIX A. CLAIMS APPENDIX, APPENDIX B. EVIDENCE APPENDIX, and APPENDIX C. RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX are incorrect. Appellant is required to amend these section headings to remove recitations of APPENDIX A., APPENDIX B., and APPENDIX C. in order to track express language required by the rules. Appellant is also requested to add Roman numerals VIII - X for the corresponding appendixes such as to avoid confusion.

As to point 4. Concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal shall also refer to the drawings in addition to the specification. In the instant case, at least for independent claims 1 and 108 appellants only referred to the specification, wherein drawings are included as part of disclosure. It is further unclear how 11-page section of the specification, i.e. page 28 second paragraph to page 39, can be considered as "concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims". Appropriate correction to narrow down cited portion of the specification is required.

Appellants are further requested to clearly separate summarized claims by appropriate sub-headings.

As to claims 108, 109, 113, 118, 154, 155, and 162, appellants failed to identify the structure as corresponding to every means plus function limitation with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings.

As to point 6. the heading "A. MPEP Standards for Patentability" at page 22 of the Brief, and its sub-headings at pages 23-28, is inappropriate as it does not identify a particular ground of rejection treated under this heading.

Each ground of rejection has not been treated under a separate heading. Instead, appellants created a separate heading for each independent claim wherein multiple independent claims have been rejected under the same grounds. Appropriate correction is required for all affected claims.